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ABSTRACT

The problems of this research is occurred in previous studies on the influence of budgetary participation on budgetary slack and high budgetary slack happened at Hospital of Sumbawa Besar become the motivation in this research. Data collection was done through the distribution of questionnaires to the respondent, from 48 questionnaires, only 45 questionnaires could be used. This study used a model based on the SEM variant of SmartPLS. The research instrument has passed the validity and reliability test. The results showed that budgetary participation have effect on budgetary slack. This study may identification the interaction between budgetary participation and organizational culture has a positive and significant effect on budgetary slack, environmental uncertainty has a negative and significant effect, and information asymmetry has a negative and significant effect between budgetary participation and budgetary slack. The implications of this study are expected to be useful for the hospital and the government so that it can be a guide in preparing and setting a more effective and efficient budget, so that the goals of the organization can be easily achieved.
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Introduction

In the current era of globalization, companies are required to make a change from every field both in terms of vision, the mission and company perspective, this is to be done for promote the goals of the company. Brigham and Daves (2010) believes that the company can increase the wealth of the members of the shareholders of the company, which is the most important targets to be achieved by each company, of course it is not out of control management and budgeting are well within the company. Management control system is a very important part in managing the company. Basically, management control is a process carried out by the manager to influence subordinates to want to implement corporate strategy. In the system management control, budget plays an important role as a management tool to control the operation of the company to an established strategy can be used to achieve its goals. Speaking on the budget, cannot be separated from the finance company used by profit-oriented and non-profit. For an enterprise, budgeting is a medium that is used to help carry out the activities to be more effective, for example for a planning tool and a means of controlling others.

In a traditional budgeting models, made with system, top-down where the plan and the budget amount assigned by superiors to subordinates just do what you have prepared (Govindarajan, 2005). Implementation of this system will create a superior result in less know the potential and constraints faced by subordinates to provide a target which is very high compared with the low ability of subordinates. Starting from this condition, some good company in the private sector or the public began to implement a budgeting system that can fix above problems, namely budgetary participation, when subordinates involved in the preparation of the budget concerning the sub-parts in order to reach an agreement between superiors and subordinates of the budget (Anthony, 2005) Participation budget assessed as having consequences on the attitudes and behavior of members of the organization (Murray, 1990 in Sumarno, 2005). Utomo (2006) points when the participation of the budget is not implemented properly can encourage subordinates perform budgetary slack. Research on budgetary slack have a significant problem in many companies, which until now still attract the attention of researchers. economic theory and behavior, such as the principal / agent, goal setting, or the theory of organizational justice can be used to study this budgetary slack, but generate conflicting predictions due to several variables. Many studies conducted to analyze the factors that can lead to the tendency of creating slack. One factor that is widely studied and considered to have a significant effect on the emergence of slack is the participation of the budget.

Budgetary slack phenomenon common in Sumbawa District Hospital, where one example of budgetary slack that occurred at the General Hospital (Hospital) Sumbawa Besar is revenue Public Services. Revenues from public services are the responsibility of the health services unit and the services contained on Sumbawa Besar Hospital. Data budgeting for Fiscal Year (FY)
are other variables that affect the relationship between budget participation with the possibility of budgetary slack. Based on the results of previous studies, which generally have inconsistent results, and still raises questions for other researchers, so this research is motivated to try to reexamine Effect Against budget Participation on budgetary slack with moderating variables Organizational Culture, Environmental Uncertainty and Asymmetry Information.

This study aims to prove the effect of budget participation on budgetary slack with moderating variable of organizational culture and environmental uncertainty. This study is expected to provide a positive contribution in the field of management accounting, particularly in terms of budget management to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of use of the budget. In addition this study expected to be useful for employees and employees in Sumbawa Besar Hospital, so it can be input and consideration in determining the budget to fit the needs of the hospital.

**Agency Theory**

Agency theory explained that the agency relationship arises when one or more persons (the principal) employs another person (the agent) to provide a service and then delegate decision-making authority to agent (Jensen and Meckling, 1976). While Hendriksen and Michael (2000) states the agent closes a contract to perform certain tasks for the principal and the principal conclude contracts to reward agents. Analogues such as between business owners and management companies. Conflicts of interest between agents and principals will continue to increase, because the principal cannot monitor agent activity every day. Participation budget can be explained by the theory of agency, where agents have a lot more important information regarding the capacity of self, work environment and the organization as a whole. Jensen and Meckling (1976) suggests the existence of problems in the company, among others: 1) moral hazard are problems that arise because agents do not carry out the things that have been agreed under the contract of employment; and 2) adverse selection is the principal does not know that the decision taken by the agent is a decision that according to the information received by the principal or negligence in duty. Conflicts that arise is the description of the dividend policy, financing, and investment that are used by investors to set up the manager and transfer profits from the wealth of creditors (Jensen and Meckling, 1976).

**Contingency Theory**

Contingency theory can be used to analyze the design and management accounting systems to provide the information can be used by companies for a variety of purposes (Otley, 1980) and to face competition (Mia and Clarke, 1999). According to Otley (1980) The control systems are influenced by the context in which they operate and need to be adapted to the needs and circumstances of the organization. The premise of the Contingency Theory there is no universal control system which is always appropriate to be applied to the entire organization in every state. As for the
contingency factor used in this research is the organizational culture, environmental uncertainty and information asymmetry. These factors will play a role as a moderating the relationship between budget participation on budgetary slack. Contingency theory is able to push a situation that allows for the analysis of the situation prior to take action and eliminate the usual behavior is based on assumptions about behavior. In addition, the contingency theory if applied properly can be utilized for all of the latest knowledge about the organization, because the right action depends on situational variables (Davis and Newstrom, 1985).

Factors Affecting the Budgetary Slack

Research on the factors that influence the emergence of slack budgets have been carried out by economists, are as follows:

1) Budgetary Participation

One factor that is widely studied and considered to have a significant effect on the emergence of slack is the participation of the budget. A high participative budget will increase budgetary slack (Sudarba, 2010). Research Kahar (2016) in particular, this study provides empirical evidence that participatory budgeting factors affecting budgetary slack mediated by intervening variables job satisfaction factors. The results showed a significant negative effect on the participatory budgeting budgetary slack. Siegel and Marconi (1989) states that participation in the budgeting process can provide benefits include:

a) People who are involved in the budgeting process is not only a task involved but also ego Involved in carrying out their work.
b) Someone would increase the sense of togetherness in the group because it can improve collaboration between group members in their goal setting, but it can relieve pressure from their budgets.
c) Reduce the sense of inequality in allocating available resources among the divisions that exist within the organization.

2) Organizational Culture

Every organization must have a different definition of organizational culture. According to Robbins (2008), organizational culture is a common value system within an organization that determines the degree of how employees carry out activities to achieve organizational goals. Organizational culture is also defined as one that is guided by the values of human resources in the face of external issues and attempt to understand the values that exist and understand how they should act and behave (Susanto, 1997).

All human resources must be able to understand the true culture of the organization, because this understanding is associated with every step or activity carried out, both for strategic planning and tactical and planning implementation activities, where each of these activities must be based on the culture of the organization.

According to Holmes and Marsden (1996) in Sardjito and Muthaher (2007), organizational culture affects the behavior, how to work and motivation of superiors and subordinates to achieve organizational performance. Cultural organizations in Robbins and Judge (2008) measured seven key characteristics that constitute the essence of the culture of an organization, namely:

a) Innovation and the courage to take a risk is the level of an employee who is motivated to be innovative and take risks;
b) Attention to detail matters is the level of an employee who is expected to run precision, analysis and attention to the detail;
c) The orientation of the results is how high the level of management to better focus on the outcome rather than the techniques and processes used to achieve those results;
d) The orientation of people is how high level of management decisions consider the effect of these results on the person in the organization;
e) The orientation team is how high the level of activities in the organization of work in teams rather than individuals;
f) Aggressiveness is the level of someone being aggressive and competitive than relaxing in the work;
g) Stability is how high the level of activities of the organization stressed the effort to maintain the status quo in comparison with growth.

Results of research conducted Usman (2012) showed the importance of values in the organizational culture affect behavior and attitudes of individuals. The results showed that organizational culture is moderating variables (pure moderator) to the budgetary slack but weaken the relationship between budget participation on budgetary slack, this means that the culture in the environment Organization conducive enough for the people involved (participate) in the preparation the third hospital budget in the research object because of the presence of the organizational culture to reduce and weaken the budgetary slack.

3) Environmental uncertainty

environmental uncertainty condition is one of the factors that often cause the organization to adapt the organization to the environment. Uncertainty can be defined as the individual’s perception of the organization. Individuals who are in uncertainty condition due to insufficient
information to predict the future held their organization. In general, the main source of uncertainty for a society together come from the environment, which consists of customers, suppliers, technologies, competitors and regulators is required (Govindarajan, 1998). Miliken (1978) suggests that in general individuals will undergo environmental uncertainty if you feel the environment is unpredictable and cannot understand how the components of the environment will change. According to (Duncan, 1972 in Asak, 2014) under conditions of low environmental uncertainty (the environment is relatively stable), individuals can predict the future situation so that measures can be planned to be done with more accuracy. A relatively stable condition can be used by members of the organization to help organizations make accurate planning.

4) Information Asymmetry

Information Asymmetry is an imbalance information which is owned by top managers and the manager down. This happens because of lower managers are directly related to daily operations. According Dunk (1993): “asymmetry information exists when subordinates information exceeds that of Reviews their Superiors.” Information Asymmetry occurs when subordinates avoid more information than the boss about an organizational problem. Or in other words the presence of an imbalance of information held subordinate to the information held by employers for their different views and interests. According Dunk (1993) in Widiastuti (2006) information asymmetry is measured by several indicators, such as: 1) the information that subordinates compared to the boss,

2) input-output relationships that exist in internal operations, 3) potential performance, 4) technical work, 5) the ability to assess potential impacts and 6) the achievement of the field of activity. According to Scott (2000: 105) asymmetry of information is divided into two sections, among others: 1) Adverse selection, where the managers as well as people in knowing more about the company information and circumstances that influence the decision to be taken by shareholders. 2) Moral hazard, where the activities carried out by a manager is not entirely known by shareholders and lenders. So that managers can perform actions outside the knowledge of shareholders in violation of the contract and the actual ethics or norms which may not be worth doing. In research Usman (2012) as a moderating variable information asymmetry on budgetary slack but weaken the relationship participation of the budgetary slack implies that the information asymmetry can be minimized with the communication between supervisors and subordinates so that budgetary slack can be decreased.

Hypothesis Development

The Effect of Budgetary Participation On Budgetary slack

The budget participation growing, provides opportunities for Responsibility Center manager to perform budgetary slack. The occurrence of such events is because the responsibility center manager is given authority to establish the content of their budget and their performance will be assessed based on the budget. Raudhiah (2014) states that a high interaction between budgetary participation, budget emphasis and information asymmetry increase the budgetary slack. However, the achieved performance assessment based on whether or not the company budget targets lead to a budgetary slack. In addition, the planning and budget preparation companies often lead to budgetary slack, because budgeting is often dominated by the interests of an individual or group, and less reflective of the needs of stakeholders (Kartiwa, 2004). The above statement is supported by the research results Maskun (2008), Young (1985), Siegel and Marconi (1989), Sustainable (2015) stating that the budgetary participation has a positive effect it is different with Supanto (2010), Martijn and Wiersma (2011) which states that the budgetary participation negatively affect the budgetary slack. Based on the above and the inconsistency of the results of previous studies, it can be made a hypothesis is

H1: The budgetary participation has positive effect to Budgetary slack.

Capabilities of Organizational Culture for Moderating Budgetary Participation on Budgetary Slack

Importance of Organizational Culture in the development and processing of individual behavior in the company has been very common to be known. In the era of globalization, companies are expected to have management and operational control are efficient and effective, as well as the main structure. Thus, a conflict of interest between the agent and the principal in the budgeting of the company that may cause slack budget can be anticipated. This is supported by Maskun (2008) that the culture of bureaucratic organization that has undergone a transition to increasingly open communication culture, so as to reduce the occurrence of slack budget. Reya research results (2011) and Usman (2012) shows that organizational culture is a variable moderating influence on the participatory budget slack budget. However, Falikhatun (2007) and Supanto (2010), shows that the culture of a people-oriented organization (employee oriented) cannot moderate influence on the budgetary participation with budgetary slack. Based on that case, it can be hypothesized.

H2: The organizational culture moderating (strengthening) the effect of budgetary participation to budgetary slack.

Capabilities of Environmental Uncertainty for Moderating Budgetary Participation on budgetary slack
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Condition of environmental uncertainty is a sense of inability to accurately predict anything from the entire social and physical factors that directly affect the decision-making behavior of the people in the organization (Milliken, 1987 in Astuti 2007). Environmental uncertainty variable is measured using indicators: lack of information, inability to find out the results, and the inability to determine the possibilities. Environmental uncertainties identified as an important factor for these conditions can complicate planning and control. Planning becomes problematic in situations of uncertain operation for more unpredictable future events.

According to Govindarajan (1986) The main source of uncertainty for an organization comes from the environment, including competitors, customers, suppliers, regulators, and technology required. An individual will have high environmental uncertainty if you feel the environment is unpredictable and cannot understand how the components of the environment will change (Milliken, 1987). According to Duncan (1972) whereas in environmental uncertainty is low (the environment is relatively stable), individuals can predict the state in the future so that the measures that will be done can be planned more accurately. In a relatively stable condition can be used by members of the organization in making a correct decision that can benefit the organization. Subordinates who actively participate in the preparation of budgets and facing an environment of low uncertainty, will possibly create slack in the budget because he can cope with environmental uncertainty and can predict the future. Instead, the environmental uncertainty, it would be increasingly difficult to predict the future and the more difficult it is creating a budgetary slack. Based on the above description, it can be hypothesized:

H3: The environmental uncertainty moderating (weakening) the effect of budgetary participation to budgetary slack

Capabilities of Asymmetry Information for Moderating Budgetary Participation to budgetary Slack

The utilities of contingency theory on asymmetry of information is to look at the effects of information in the relationship between budgetary participation on budgetary slack variables, where the information asymmetry here as situational variables that can strengthen or weaken the relationship between the two variables. According to some researchers the information asymmetry that occurs between the principal and the agent who participated in budgeting can lead to budgetary slack this case, because of the asymmetry of information can make the difference relevant information used in making decisions between top-level managers with managers lower levels, this theory is supported by Young (1985), Falikhatun (2007), Djasuli and Fadilah (2011) that the interaction of participatory budgeting and information asymmetry positive and significant impact on budgetary slack. This is in contrast with the results of Fitri (2004), Asak (2016), and Irfan (2016) that the information asymmetry is not able to moderate the relationship between budget participation on budgetary slack. Based on the foregoing, it can be hypothesized:

H4: The information asymmetry moderating (strengthening) the effect of budgetary participation to budgetary slack.

Methods
The population in this study were all employees at the District General Hospital Sumbawa Besar. The sampling technique in this study using purposive sampling ie taking samples in accordance with the criteria established by researchers that are 48 respondents. The variables of this research is measured by using a questionnaire that contains few statements. The statements in this questionnaire is a replication drawn from several previous studies with reference to the theoretical foundation that deals with the study variables. Based on the statements of each of the variables of the study, respondents were asked to provide an assessment or opinion with Likert scale 1–5. Figures 1 shows the ratings or opinions strongly disagree (STS) through 5 which show ratings / opinions strongly agree (SS).

Data Analysis Techniques Validity Test
Validity test aims to measure the quality of the instruments used and shows the validity of an instrument as well as how well a concept can be defined by a measure (Hair et al., 2006). Item questionnaire considered valid, if above 0.5. The validity of the instrument was evaluated based on a convergent and discriminant validity of the indicators are calculated using PLS. Convergent validity assessed based on the correlation (outer loading) between the scores of items or indicators (component score) with a score of constructs.

Reliability Test
Reliability Test conducted to determine the extent of the measurement device has a measurement accuracy and precision consistent from time to time. Instrument reliability is determined from the value of composite reliability and Cronbach’s alpha for each blockade indicator. According Ghozali and Latan (2015), an indicator is said to have good reliability if the value of composite reliability and Cronbach’s alpha greater than 0.7.

Hypothesis Test
Analysis model used in this study is a component-based SEM (component- based) software smartPLS (Partial Least Square) Ver. 3.2.7. According Ghozali and Latan (2015), PLS is powerful analysis because it is not based on many assumptions. The stages of analysis using PLS-SEM according Ghozali and Latan (2015) consists of five stages of the analysis process, ie conceptualization models, determination algorithm analysis method, determination of resampling methods, drawing the path diagram and modevaluation.Determining whether or not fit a model in this study uses several sizes of average path coefficient (APC), average R-square (ARS) and the average variance inflation factor (AVIF), APC value and ARS should be less than 0.05 and AVIF as an indicator.
must be greater multicolinearity 5.

Results and Discussion Results of Questionnaire

Sample of respondents in this study were employees of the Regional General Hospital Sumbawa in charge of finance and participate in the preparation of the budget. The questionnaire was distributed to 48 respondents, while as many as 48 questionnaires were returned, but that can be used and processed in this study amounted to only 45 questionnaires. The rest cannot be used because of incomplete answers and data.

Validity test

Parameters used to test the validity by looking at the value of the loading factor. The results of the validity shown in Table 1 show that almost all the indicators in the constructs in the measurement model have met the requirements, only indicator X4.2, X4.3 and X4.4 which do not meet the requirements of 0.302, 0.064, and 0.243 so that should be dropped from the model.

After the indicators are dropped, then reanalysis of the model. Based on the results of the analysis, the indicators have a loading factor value > 0.50. The results of the analysis can be seen in Table 2 below:

Table 1. Cross Loading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>X1</th>
<th>X2</th>
<th>X3</th>
<th>X4</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1.1</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>0.867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.2</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.3</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.4</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1.5</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>0.915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.1</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>0.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.2</td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>0.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.3</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.4</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.5</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>0.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.6</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2.7</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.1</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.2</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.796</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>0.723</td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.3</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>0.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.4</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>0.878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.5</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.6</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.7</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>0.794</td>
<td>0.853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.8</td>
<td>0.902</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.9</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>0.812</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.10</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>0.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.11</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>0.848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3.12</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>0.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4.1</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>0.905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4.2</td>
<td>0.822</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.794</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>0.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4.3</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4.4</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>0.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y3</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>0.867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y4</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y5</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>0.911</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.894</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reliability test is performed to determine the extent to which the measurement tools have accuracy and accuracy of consistent measurements over time. Instrument reliability is determined from the value of composite reliability and cronbach's alpha greater than 0.7 (Ghozali and Latan, 2015).

Table 2. Reliability Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Composite Reliability</th>
<th>Cronbach Alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>0.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>0.939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>0.964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X5</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.934</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interaction of Hypothesis Results and Moderating variables

Hypothesis test results known from the value of the path coefficients in the process bootstrapping SmartPLS 3.evidence of hypothesis seen by comparing T statistics with T table. The hypothesis is accepted if T statistics bigger than T table 1.68. Below are presented results of hypothesis testing in Table 4 as follows:

Table 4. Tests of Hypotheses Based on Path Coefficient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>(STDEV)</th>
<th>T Statistics</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1 -&gt; Y</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>2.185</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1*X2 -&gt; Y</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>2.384</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1*X3 -&gt; Y</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>1.803</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1*X4 -&gt; Y</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>2.025</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Effect of Budgetary Participation to Budgetary slack

The results of a test of hypothesis 1 (H1) show that budget participation that occurred at the General Hospital (Hospital) Sumbawa Besar, has coefficient Tstatistic (2.185)> T table (1.68), and the value of the original sample (0.426), meaning that budget participation positive and significant impact on budgetary slack. That is, if the budget participation by Sumbawa Besar Hospital improved, it will increase budgetary slack. Conversely, if the participation budget is decreasing, declining budgetary slack. Thus, the hypothesis 1 (H1) can be verified. The results of this study are consistent with the Young (1985), Kartika (2010), Usman (2012), Triana (2012), Raudhiah (2014), Huda (2014) and Lestari (2015), which showed that budget participation affect the budgetary slack.

Capabilities of Organizational Culture for Moderating Budgetary Participation to Budgetary Slack

Hypothesis test results 2 (H2) is a moderating influence organizational culture budgetary participation on budgetary slack in Sumbawa Besar Hospital. Hypothesis test results obtained are coefficient Tstatistic (2.384)> T table (1.68) and the value of the original sample (0.342),
which means that the interaction of budgetary participation and organizational culture positive and significant impact on budgetary slack. Thus, able to moderate the organizational culture (strengthen) the effect of budget participation on budgetary slack occurring in Sumbawa Besar hospital. The better of organizational culture that occurred in Sumbawa Besar hospital, the greater of tendency to subordinate participation to increase budgetary slack. The results of this study are consistent with research Indriantoro (1999), Reysa (2011), and Indriyani (2014) that organizational culture is a variable that moderates the effect of budget participation on budgetary slack.

Capabilities of Environmental Uncertainty for Modering Budgetary Participation to Budgetary Slack

Based on the results of hypothesis testing 3 (H3) that environmental uncertainty moderating influence of budget participation on budgetary slack, showing environmental uncertainties in Sumbawa Besar Hospital able to moderate the relationship between budgetary participation and budgetary slack. Coefficient of $T_{statistic} (1.803) > T_{table} (1.68)$ and the value of the original sample (-0.197) then, means that the uncertainty of the environment can moderate (weaken) the relationship between budgetary participation and environmental uncertainty. Thus, able to moderate environmental uncertainty (weaken) the relationship between budgetary participation and budgetary slack. It mean the environmental uncertainty that occur in Sumbawa Besar Hospital able to act as variables that moderate the relationship between budgetary participation and budgetary slack. The results of this study are consistent with the results of research conducted by Kartika (2010), Asak (2016), and Fatmawati (2017). The participation rate of the budget would have a positive impact on budgetary slack, in a low environmental uncertainty, the higher the resulting slack budgetary participation will increase as well. Vice versa participation of the budget will have a negative effect on budgetary slack, the environmental uncertainty. A subordinate who has a high participation in the budget and lower face of environmental uncertainty, will be able to create slack in the budget, because it is able to cope with uncertainty and is able to predict the future. In contrast, the high degree of uncertainty, it will be increasingly difficult to predict the future and the more difficult it is creating a budgetary slack.

Capabilities of Asymmetry Information for Modering Budgetary Participation to budgetary Slack

Based on the test results of hypothesis that information asymmetry is moderating influence of budget participation on budgetary slack, indicating that the hypothesis 4 (H4) was rejected, which results from the interaction between budgetary participation and information asymmetry on budgetary slack is a significant negative effect. So we can conclude that moderate the information asymmetry (weaken) the effect of budget participation on budgetary slack in Sumbawa Besar Hospital. This is in accordance with the contingency theory where the variable information asymmetry which acts as situational variables able to weaken the relationship between the variables of budget participation on budgetary slack. This study is in line with research of Fitri (2004), Usman (2012), Asak (2016), and Irfan (2016) where the information asymmetry is not able to strengthen the relationship between budget participation on budgetary slack, the intention is asymmetry of information could undermine the relationship between budgetary participation budgetary slack.

Conclusion

Based on the results of the analysis can be concluded that this study proves that the influence of a direct relationship between budget participation on budgetary slack, as shown by their positive influence in a direct relationship between budget participation on budgetary slack occurring in Sumbawa Besar Hospital. In addition the results of the analysis showed that the moderating variable organizational culture able to moderate (strengthening) the relationship between budget participation on budgetary slack, ie the higher the influence of organizational culture that occurred in Sumbawa Besar Hospital, the higher the tendency of participation subordinate to increase the budget gap, then the moderating variable uncertainty environment able to moderate (weaken) the relationship between budgetary participation and budgetary slack, meaning that budget participation occurred in Sumbawa Besar Hospital will have a negative effect on budgetary slack, the environmental uncertainty, a subordinate who has a high participation in the budget and the face of environmental uncertainty is low, will be able to create slack in the budget, because it is able to cope with uncertainty and is able to predict the future, and variable information asymmetry as the moderating variable significant negative effect on the relationship of budget participation with slack budget. That is, the condition of information asymmetry that occurs in Sumbawa Besar Hospital, which occurs between the agent with the principal who participated in the preparation of budgets, can weaken the probability appearance of budgetary slack. It is revealed in the budget, an exchange of local information possessed by the subordinate submitted to boss, but the boss still difficult to understand all the information that goes especially on matters relating to the technical field more understandable by subordinates with know about their job. So it can be concluded that the asymmetry of information is not able to strengthen the relationship of budget participation on budgetary slack in Sumbawa Besar Hospital.

Limitations And Future Research Suggestions

Limitations of this study will provide guidance and guidelines for future research. First, this study only examines the effect of budget participation on budgetary slack with moderating variables of organizational culture and environmental uncertainty. For further research is needed to develop this research by adding another variable which is the study of budgetary slack. Moreover, it can also test other moderating variables such as the capacity of individuals, group cohesiveness, motivation and so forth are still a few researchers who examine this.
in his research. Second, this study to test limited to one hospital alone. To get a different understanding, it helps if responder group performed at the hospital for more good hospitals owned by the private sector or the government, then the use of mixed method is recommended for the next study, because by using this technique, the results obtained can be explored in and can be viewed from the perspective of diverse and rich than when only using the instrument alone.
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